

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/209,706	12/11/1998		EDWARD F. TOKAS	IR-2588(ET)	6621	
7.	590	07/30/2002				
WAYNE W F	RUPERT		EXAMINER			
111 LORD DR			KNABLE, GEOFFREY L			
P O BOX 8012 CARY, NC 275128012						
CART, NC 2/3126012		•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1733	2/	
				DATE MAILED: 07/30/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1:
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	`
	09/209,706	TOKAS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Geoffrey L. Knable	1733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cov r sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut	136(a). In no event, however, may a lily within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.	
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 	ng date of this communication, even if	timely filed, may reduce any	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	<u>May 2002</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ TI	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>53,54,56,57,59-83,93,94,96 and 98-</u>	-143 is/are nending in the	application	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		application.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	iwii iioiii oonsiderdaani.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·	
8) Claim(s) 53,54,56,57,59-83,93,94,96 and 98-	143 are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	<u></u>		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ o	lisapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		•	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in A	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/209,706

Art Unit: 1733

Election/Restrictions

1. This application as amended now contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

I: applying/providing the catalyst at the substrate surface preliminary to the coating operation;

II: applying (i.e. spraying) the catalyst and metathesizable material simultaneously.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it is apparent that the intent is that at least claims 99 and 104 are generic¹ (particularly in light of the presence of dependent claims 103 and 143).

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

¹ It is noted however that a 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejection on this point may be necessitated in subsequent office actions as it is not entirely clear that these claims can reasonably be so read.

Application/Control Number: 09/209,706

Art Unit: 1733

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. <u>Note</u>: Applicant is advised that in the May 15, 2002 amendment, the amendments to claims 54, 56, 58, 59, 60, 65, 71, 75, 79, 80, 81, 82 and 83 have *not* been entered as neither a clean copy nor a marked-up copy thereof were provided (note also that claim 58 is a canceled claim).
- 3. <u>Note</u>: The papers filed on May 15, 2002 have not been made part of the permanent records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Office) for this application (37 CFR 1.52(a)) because of damage from the United States Postal Service irradiation process. The above-identified papers, however, were not so damaged as to preclude the USPTO from making a legible copy of such papers. Therefore, the Office has made a copy of these papers, substituted them for the originals in the file, and stamped that copy:

COPY OF PAPERS ORIGINALLY FILED

If applicant wants to review the accuracy of the Office's copy of such papers, applicant may either inspect the application (37 CFR 1.14(d)) or may request a copy of the Office's records of such papers (*i.e.*, a copy of the copy made by the Office) from the Office of Public Records for the fee specified in 37 CFR 1.19(b)(4). Please do **not** call the Technology Center's Customer Service Center to inquiry about the completeness or

Application/Control Number: 09/209,706

Art Unit: 1733

accuracy of Office's copy of the above-identified papers, as the Technology Center's Customer Service Center will **not** be able to provide this service.

If applicant does not consider the Office's copy of such papers to be accurate, applicant must provide a copy of the above-identified papers (except for any U.S. or foreign patent documents submitted with the above-identified papers) with a statement that such copy is a complete and accurate copy of the originally submitted documents. If applicant provides such a copy of the above-identified papers and statement within **THREE MONTHS** of the mail date of this Office action, the Office will add the original mailroom date and use the copy provided by applicant as the permanent Office record of the above-identified papers in place of the copy made by the Office. Otherwise, the Office's copy will be used as the permanent Office record of the above-identified papers (i.e., the Office will use the copy of the above-identified papers made by the Office for examination and all other purposes). This three-month period is not extendable.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Geoffrey L. Knable whose telephone number is 703-308-2062. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael W. Ball can be reached on 703-308-2058. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Geoffrey L. Knable Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733

G. Knable July 29, 2002